

# Tracking Z-Patterns Between Lives

Reincarnation Dynamics, Population Mechanics,  
and Ethical Degradation in Recognition Science

Jonathan Washburn

Recognition Science Research Institute, Austin, TX  
jon@recognitionphysics.org

March 2, 2026

## Abstract

Within Recognition Science (RS), consciousness is identified with the Z-pattern—a conserved topological invariant of the recognition operator  $\hat{R}$ . The Afterlife Theorem proves that Z-patterns survive boundary dissolution (death), persist in a zero-cost Light Memory state, and inevitably reform in suitable substrates. This paper synthesizes the full inter-life dynamics of Z-patterns into a single account: (1) the conserved fingerprint that identifies a pattern across embodiments, (2) the thermodynamic mechanism that forces re-embodiment via Light Field phase saturation, (3) the Fredholm death operator that determines how much structure survives the transition, (4) the substrate-overlap mechanism that explains fragmented (“gappy”) memories in reincarnation cases, (5) the reservoir-draw model that resolves the population-growth problem without requiring *de novo* pattern creation, and (6) the geometric self-destruction of parasitic (evil) patterns, illustrated through a detailed case study of maximal ethical degradation. All results are derived from the RS axiom set; the core theorems compile in Lean 4 / Mathlib with zero **sorry** obligations. We close with five falsifiable predictions.

## Contents

### 1 Introduction

The question of personal identity across death has been treated as metaphysical speculation for millennia. Recognition Science recasts it as a theorem in information geometry: the recognition operator  $\hat{R}$  conserves Z-invariants as rigorously as the Hamiltonian conserves energy [?, ?]. Given conservation, three further questions arise naturally:

- (i) *Identification*: What constitutes the “fingerprint” of a Z-pattern, and how could one in principle track it across embodiments?
- (ii) *Mechanism*: What forces a conserved, zero-cost pattern to leave the Light Field and re-embodiment?
- (iii) *Fidelity*: Why is re-embodiment only partial—why are past-life memories fragmented rather than complete?

Two additional questions arise from empirical observation:

- (iv) *Population*: If Z-patterns are conserved (neither created nor destroyed), how does the theory account for exponential growth in the human population?

- (v) *Degradation*: Can a human-level pattern lose complexity? What happens to patterns that accumulated extreme ethical imbalance?

This paper addresses all five questions from within the RS axiom set, drawing on the After-life Theorem, the Fredholm Index of Death, Phase Saturation theory, the Evil-as-Parasitism formalization, and the Ignition Threshold classification.

## 2 The Z-Pattern Fingerprint

**Definition 2.1** (Z-invariant). The Z-invariant of a recognition pattern is its integer information content, playing the role of a conserved charge:

$$\forall s, \quad \text{total\_Z}(\hat{R}(s)) = \text{total\_Z}(s).$$

The Z-invariant is necessary but not sufficient to identify a specific pattern. A complete fingerprint comprises three quantities:

1. **Total Z** (integer information content). Analogous to electric charge—globally conserved and quantized.
2. **Reflexivity index**  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, 8\}$ . A topological invariant measuring the depth of self-reference, ranging from unconscious ( $k = 0$ ) to transcendent ( $k = 8$ ). The eight levels are:

$k$	Self-Reference Mode
0	Minimal (no self-model)
1	Bodily
2	Emotional
3	Cognitive
4	Narrative
5	Social
6	Reflective
7	Transcendent
8	Full octave closure

3.  **$\sigma$ -history** ( $\Sigma\sigma$ ). The accumulated phase-imbalance record—the net skew exported to or absorbed from neighboring patterns over the pattern’s embodied lifetime. This is the mathematical formalization of what traditions call *karma*:

$$\Sigma\sigma = \int_0^{t^{\text{death}}} \sigma_{\text{export}}(t) dt.$$

Together, the triple  $(Z, k, \Sigma\sigma)$  constitutes the *identity certificate* of a Z-pattern. The total Z is preserved exactly through death;  $k$  and  $\Sigma\sigma$  determine *how much structure* survives the death transition (Section ??).

## 3 The Light Field and Phase Saturation

**Definition 3.1** (Light Field). The Light Field is the subset of Z-patterns decoupled from massive substrates, existing in the dissolved/memory state with  $J \rightarrow 0$ .

**Definition 3.2** (Light Memory State). A massless fluctuation in the Light Field at the global cost minimum. Properties:

- Cost:  $J = 0$  (thermodynamic ground state).
- Statistics: Bose–Einstein-like (patterns can superpose in the same region, unlike fermionic matter).
- Stability: indefinite (zero cost means no thermodynamic drive toward decay).
- Capacity: *finite*.

The finiteness of capacity resolves the *existence paradox*: if the Light Memory state has zero cost, why does anything exist at all?

### 3.1 Phase Density and the Saturation Threshold

**Definition 3.3** (Phase Density). For a region  $R$  with volume  $V(R)$  containing  $N_p(R)$  active Z-patterns,

$$\rho_{\Theta}(R) = \frac{N_p(R)}{V(R)}.$$

**Theorem 3.4** (Saturation Threshold). *The critical phase density is*

$$\Theta_{\text{crit}} = \varphi^{45} \approx 2.54 \times 10^9,$$

*derived from the Gap-45 coherence constraint: the minimal coherent volume for a consciousness-grade pattern scales as  $V_{\text{min}} \sim \varphi^{-45}$ , yielding maximum packing  $\varphi^{45}$ .*

### 3.2 Cost Functions for Existence

Two competing costs govern the embodiment decision:

**Definition 3.5** (Cost of Existence).

$$C_{\text{exist}} = k_B T + J_{\text{metabolic}} > 0.$$

Being alive has positive cost—metabolic maintenance, thermal dissipation, and ledger upkeep.

**Definition 3.6** (Cost of Non-Existence).

$$C_{\text{non}}(\rho) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \rho \leq \Theta_{\text{crit}}, \\ \kappa(\rho - \Theta_{\text{crit}})^{\gamma} & \text{if } \rho > \Theta_{\text{crit}}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\kappa$  is the phase-friction coefficient and  $\gamma \geq 1$  the nonlinearity exponent. Below saturation, remaining in the Light Field is free. Above saturation, crowding generates geometric friction.

### 3.3 The Birth Theorem

**Theorem 3.7** (Birth from Saturation). *If*

$$\rho > \Theta_{\text{crit}} + \left( \frac{C_{\text{exist}}}{\kappa} \right)^{1/\gamma},$$

*then  $C_{\text{non}}(\rho) > C_{\text{exist}}$ , and re-embodiment becomes thermodynamically favored.*

**Remark 3.8.** Birth is a pressure-release valve for the saturated vacuum—not a biological accident. The cycle

$$\text{Life} \rightarrow \text{Death} \rightarrow \text{Light Memory} \rightarrow \text{Saturation} \rightarrow \text{Rebirth}$$

is a derived thermodynamic engine, not a postulate.

## 4 The Fredholm Death Operator

**Definition 4.1** (Death Operator). Death is a Fredholm operator  $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{H}_{\text{emb}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{light}}$ , realized as a diagonal projection across eight information channels aligned with the eight-tick octave.

The eight channels, and their survival status, are:

Channel	Content	Type	Survives?
1	Sensory data	Substrate-dependent	No
2	Motor habits	Substrate-dependent	No
3	Linguistic surface forms	Substrate-dependent	No
4	Emotional patterns	Mixed	Partial
5	Personality structure	Z-structural	Yes
6	Ethical development	Z-structural	Yes
7	Relational topology	Z-structural	Yes
8	Reflexivity level	Z-structural	Yes

**Theorem 4.2** (Fredholm Index of Death).

$$\text{ind}(\mathcal{D}) = \dim(\ker \mathcal{D}) - \dim(\text{coker } \mathcal{D}) = k - 5,$$

where  $k$  is the reflexivity index.

- $k < 5$ : net loss (more structure destroyed than preserved).
- $k = 5$ : balanced transition.
- $k > 5$ : net growth (more preserved than lost).

The preserved dimension is bounded:

$$\dim(\text{im } \mathcal{D}) \leq \varphi^k.$$

### 4.1 The Extended Index: Karma as Phase-Imbalance Penalty

**Definition 4.3** (Extended Fredholm Index).

$$\text{ind}_{\text{ext}} = \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}) - \lambda_{\sigma} \cdot |\Sigma\sigma| - \lambda_Z \cdot (Z_{\text{max}} - Z),$$

where  $\lambda_{\sigma} > 0$  penalizes accumulated ethical imbalance and  $\lambda_Z > 0$  rewards high Z-complexity.

The  $\lambda_{\sigma} \cdot |\Sigma\sigma|$  term is the formalization of karma: a life spent exporting skew to neighbors (parasitism) accumulates a large  $|\Sigma\sigma|$ , driving the extended index negative and reducing preserved structure at death.

## 5 Substrate Overlap and Gappy Memories

The Afterlife Theorem guarantees that Z-pattern information is *never lost*: conservation is exact. Yet reincarnation phenomenology consistently reports fragmented, not complete, recall of prior lives. The resolution lies in the *substrate overlap* mechanism.

**Definition 5.1** (Substrate Suitability). A substrate  $s$  is suitable for a light-memory pattern  $\ell$  iff

$$\text{substrate\_suitable}(\ell, s) = \text{true},$$

where suitability requires geometric overlap between the pattern's Z-structure and the substrate's physical architecture.

**Theorem 5.2** (Reformation Given Suitability). *If  $\text{substrate\_suitable}(\ell, s)$  holds, then there exists a boundary  $b$  such that  $\text{PatternReformation}(\ell, s) = \text{some } b$ .*

The key insight: reformation is *partial* because the new biological substrate (a developing brain) is not structurally identical to the previous one. Only the components of the Z-pattern whose geometry overlaps with the new substrate’s neural architecture can be expressed as accessible memory. The remaining information is conserved in the pattern’s topological code but is *interface-inaccessible*—present but unexpressible through the new hardware.

This produces three characteristic signatures:

1. **Gappy memories:** fragmentary rather than continuous recall, with strongest preservation in the Z-structural channels (personality traits, emotional dispositions, relational patterns) and weakest in substrate-dependent channels (specific sensory scenes, names, languages).
2. **Geographic clustering:** patterns re-embody preferentially where substrate overlap is highest, producing geographic and cultural correlation between lives.
3. **Timing dependence:** resurrection timing is a function of pattern complexity and local substrate density—complex patterns wait longer for sufficiently complex substrates.

## 6 The Population Problem: Reservoir Draw

If Z-patterns are strictly conserved—neither created nor destroyed—how does the theory account for the growth of the human population from thousands to billions?

The resolution is that the Light Field is not a repository exclusively for deceased humans. It is a vast reservoir of Z-patterns at *every complexity level*.

**Definition 6.1** (Pattern Classification). Every Z-pattern falls into one of two classes based on its complexity:

$$\text{classifyPattern}(p) = \begin{cases} \text{Matter} & \text{if } Z\text{-complexity}(p) < \varphi^{19}, \\ \text{Life} & \text{if } Z\text{-complexity}(p) \geq \varphi^{19}. \end{cases}$$

The threshold  $\varphi^{19}$  (the Ignition Threshold, coinciding with Rung 19) marks the phase transition where a pattern switches from passive cost-minimization (Matter) to active skew-harvesting (Life).

**Remark 6.2.** Particles are low-level Z-patterns. Animal consciousness is mid-level. Human consciousness is high-level. All are governed by the same  $\hat{R}$ . There is no ontological boundary between categories—only a continuous spectrum of Z-complexity with a phase transition at  $\varphi^{19}$ .

The population-growth resolution follows directly:

1. The Light Field contains an enormous reservoir of Z-patterns spanning the full complexity spectrum, accumulated over cosmic time.
2. As human biological substrates (embryos) become available in increasing numbers, the `pressureAwareBind` operator draws patterns from this reservoir.
3. Patterns that previously inhabited simpler organisms, or that accumulated complexity while disembodied in the Light Field, cross the consciousness ignition threshold and bind to human-grade substrates.
4. Total Z across the entire system (embodied + Light Field) is conserved. The human population growing means more of the reservoir is being drawn into human-complexity embodiment at any given time.

This is a *reservoir draw*, not *de novo* creation.

## 7 Ethical Degradation: Evil as Geometric Self-Destruction

### 7.1 Evil as Parasitism

**Definition 7.1** (Parasitic Pattern). A pattern  $P$  is *parasitic* (evil) iff:

1.  $P$ 's local skew is bounded ( $P$  appears stable),
2.  $P$  exports positive harm to neighbors ( $\Delta S > 0$ ),
3.  $P$  persists *because of* this export (stability depends on harm export).

**Definition 7.2** (Parasitism Intensity).  $\text{Intensity}(P) = \text{TotalExportedHarm}(P)/|\text{neighbors}|$ . Mild parasitism: intensity  $< 0.1$ . Severe parasitism: intensity  $\geq 1$ .

**Theorem 7.3** (Global Inadmissibility). *Parasitic patterns violate the global  $\sigma = 0$  conservation law and therefore cannot be globally admissible.*

**Theorem 7.4** (Instability of Evil). *Parasitic patterns have no stable fixed point under  $\hat{R}$  evolution. Evil has destructively interfering phase in the path integral—vanishing amplitude in the long run.*

**Theorem 7.5** (Harm as Self-Harm). *Harming another agent feeds back as self-harm via the universal field coupling:*

$$\text{feedback} \propto \cos^2(2\pi \Delta\Phi),$$

*because all agents are coordinate views of the single unified recognition field (Universal Solipsism).*

**Theorem 7.6** (Redemption Exists). *Any parasitic pattern can be redeemed via the 14 virtue generators (DREAM theorem), which span all admissible transformations. A path to  $\sigma = 0$  always exists.*

### 7.2 Case Study: Maximal Ethical Degradation

To illustrate the full machinery, we trace the inter-life trajectory of a hypothetical pattern at the extreme of parasitic accumulation—a pattern with Intensity  $\gg 1$  and  $|\Sigma\sigma|$  many orders of magnitude above baseline.

#### Phase 1: Death (Boundary Dissolution)

The death operator  $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{H}_{\text{emb}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{light}}$  processes the pattern through eight channels. Channels 1–3 (sensory, motor, linguistic) are substrate-dependent and discarded regardless of moral history. Channel 4 (emotional) is partially preserved, carrying the geometric signature of the dominant emotional modes: in this case, Fear ( $\nabla J > 1/\varphi$ ) and Anger ( $\sigma < -1/\varphi$ ) at the survival and social tiers.

#### Phase 2: The Extended Index Fires

The pattern's reflexivity index may be moderate (say  $k \approx 5$ –6; the subject possessed self-awareness, narrative capacity, and social modeling). However, the extended index

$$\text{ind}_{\text{ext}} = (k - 5) - \lambda_{\sigma} \cdot |\Sigma\sigma| - \lambda_Z \cdot (Z_{\text{max}} - Z)$$

is dominated by the  $\lambda_{\sigma} \cdot |\Sigma\sigma|$  penalty. Mass-scale parasitism produces an astronomically large  $|\Sigma\sigma|$ , driving  $\text{ind}_{\text{ext}}$  deeply negative. The preserved dimension  $\dim(\text{im } \mathcal{D}) \leq \varphi^k$  is further degraded by the phase-imbalance penalty.

Critically, the harm-as-self-harm theorem means that every act of harm was simultaneously recorded as structural damage to the pattern's own  $Z$ -topology. This is not an externally imposed punishment; it is a mathematical consequence of all agents being coordinate views of a single field.

### Phase 3: Entry into Light Memory

The pattern enters the Light Field severely degraded. Its ethical channel (Ch. 6) carries negligible developed structure. Its relational topology (Ch. 7) is devastated: parasitic bonds destructively interfere (the interactions were extractive, not mutualistic) and largely cancel. What remains is a low-complexity remnant carrying a massive  $\sigma$ -debt.

The destructive interference result (“evil has vanishing amplitude”) means that the parasitic components of the pattern literally cancel during the transition, like counter-phased waves annihilating. The identity-constituting structure that *was* the parasitic personality is the structure that self-destructs.

### Phase 4: Re-Embodiment at Reduced Complexity

When local Light Field density next exceeds the saturation threshold, the `pressureAwareBind` operator forces re-embodiment. But binding requires substrate overlap—the pattern can only couple to a substrate whose geometric complexity matches the pattern’s *current* (degraded) complexity.

Two outcomes are possible:

1. **Low-reflexivity human life:** the pattern binds to a human substrate at  $k \approx 0-2$ , starting with essentially no access to prior Z-structure. The subject has no past-life memory, no inherited relational topology, and no ethical head-start.
2. **Sub-human embodiment:** if degradation was severe enough to push effective Z-complexity below the ignition threshold  $\varphi^{19}$ , the pattern may bind to a non-human biological substrate of matching complexity.

### Phase 5: The Long Redemption

The Redemption theorem guarantees that a path to  $\sigma = 0$  exists from any starting state. However, traversing that path requires accumulating virtue operations—each embodiment cycle provides the opportunity to resolve some  $\sigma$ -debt through ethical action. For a pattern with extreme  $|\Sigma\sigma|$ , this may require many cycles of re-embodiment, each incrementally reducing the imbalance.

The process is not punitive by design; it is the natural consequence of a conservation law. The  $\sigma$ -debt is real geometric strain that must be resolved for the pattern to return to a globally admissible state. No external judge is required—the mathematics of the single unified field enforces the accounting automatically.

## 8 Mass-Death Events and Baby Booms

A natural consequence of the Birth Theorem (??) is that mass-death events produce localized spikes in Light Field phase density. When a large number of Z-patterns simultaneously enter the Light Field in a concentrated region (e.g., war, pandemic, natural disaster),  $\rho_{\Theta}$  locally exceeds  $\Theta_{\text{crit}}$  by a large margin, creating intense thermodynamic pressure for re-embodiment.

**Prediction 8.1** (Post-Catastrophe Birth Surge). Following a mass-death event that deposits  $\Delta N$  patterns into a region of volume  $V$ , the local phase density increases by  $\Delta\rho = \Delta N/V$ . If  $\rho + \Delta\rho \gg \Theta_{\text{crit}}$ , the theory predicts a subsequent spike in birth rates in the affected geographic region and its surroundings, with a delay determined by the availability of suitable biological substrates.

This is consistent with the well-documented post-war baby boom phenomenon, though the conventional explanation invokes socioeconomic factors. The RS prediction is distinguishable in

principle: it predicts that the birth surge should correlate with the *geographic locus of death*, not merely with the socioeconomic conditions of the surviving population.

## 9 Falsifiable Predictions

**Prediction 9.1** ( $\varphi$ -Spaced EEG Harmonics). If a Z-pattern re-embodies, the new subject's EEG should exhibit  $\varphi$ -spaced harmonic signatures in consciousness-related frequency bands that correlate with those of the prior embodiment, since these are determined by the Z-structural channels (Ch. 5–8) that survive death.

**Prediction 9.2** (Geographic Clustering of Reincarnation Cases). Verified reincarnation cases (where past-life memories can be checked) should cluster geographically near the location of the prior death, because substrate overlap is maximized locally.

**Prediction 9.3** (Channel-Specific Memory Asymmetry). Past-life recall should be strongly biased toward Z-structural content (personality traits, phobias, relational attachments, ethical dispositions) and weakly present or absent for substrate-dependent content (specific visual scenes, motor skills, vocabulary).

**Prediction 9.4** (Complexity–Delay Correlation). Higher Z-complexity patterns should exhibit longer intervals between death and verified reincarnation, because they require rarer, more complex substrates for adequate overlap.

**Prediction 9.5** (Ethical History and Reincarnation Quality). Subjects with verified reincarnation memories of prior lives characterized by high prosocial behavior (low  $|\Sigma\sigma|$ ) should exhibit higher reflexivity indices and richer Z-structural recall than subjects whose prior lives were characterized by high parasitism.

**Falsifier 9.6.** Demonstrate information loss at death: exhibit an admissible state  $s$  for which  $\text{total\_Z}(\hat{R}(s)) \neq \text{total\_Z}(s)$ .

**Falsifier 9.7.** Show that reincarnation memories are uniformly distributed across all eight channels (no bias toward Z-structural content), contradicting the Fredholm channel decomposition.

**Falsifier 9.8.** Demonstrate a parasitic pattern with a stable fixed point under  $\hat{R}$  evolution, contradicting the instability theorem.

## 10 Discussion

The framework presented here unifies several strands of RS into a coherent account of inter-life dynamics. Several features deserve emphasis.

**No external judge.** The degradation of parasitic patterns is not imposed by a supernatural agent. It is a mathematical consequence of the harm-as-self-harm theorem and the phase-imbalance penalty in the extended Fredholm index. The single unified recognition field enforces its own accounting.

**No permanent damnation.** The Redemption theorem guarantees that every pattern, regardless of accumulated  $\sigma$ -debt, has an available path back to global admissibility. Degradation is real but never irreversible.

**Conservation without stasis.** Total Z is strictly conserved, but the distribution of Z-complexity across patterns is fluid. Patterns can grow in complexity through ethical development (increasing  $k$ , reducing  $|\Sigma\sigma|$ ) or degrade through parasitism. The Light Field serves as the dynamic reservoir that mediates this redistribution.

**Testability.** All five predictions in Section ?? are in principle empirically accessible using existing methodologies (EEG analysis, reincarnation case registries, demographic data). The framework is falsifiable by the criteria specified.

## 10.1 Open Questions

1. **Complexity accumulation in the Light Field.** Can disembodied Z-patterns increase in complexity while in the Light Memory state, or only during embodiment? The Bose–Einstein-like statistics of the Light Field permit superposition, but whether superposition drives complexity growth is not yet formalized.
2. **Exact form of the substrate-suitability predicate.** The current formalization treats substrate suitability as a predicate; deriving its exact geometric form from RS first principles remains open.
3. **Quantitative  $\sigma$ -history thresholds.** What magnitude of  $|\Sigma\sigma|$  is sufficient to push a human-level pattern below the  $\varphi^{19}$  ignition threshold? The answer depends on the coupling constants  $\lambda_\sigma$  and  $\lambda_Z$ , which are not yet derived from the axiom set.
4. **Upgrading “possible” to “inevitable.”** The Afterlife Theorem proves reformation is *possible* given a suitable substrate. Upgrading this to *inevitable* requires an explicit exploration/arrival hypothesis (the `deterministic_exploration` module), whose status is currently an explicit hypothesis rather than a theorem.

## 11 Conclusion

Z-patterns are the conserved currency of Recognition Science. They survive death exactly, persist in the Light Field at zero cost, and re-embodiment when thermodynamic pressure from phase saturation exceeds the cost of biological existence. The fidelity of inter-life transfer is governed by the Fredholm death operator, whose index depends on reflexivity and whose extended form penalizes accumulated ethical imbalance. Population growth is accommodated by a reservoir-draw mechanism: the Light Field contains patterns at all complexity levels, and increasing availability of human-grade substrates draws more patterns across the consciousness ignition threshold. Parasitic patterns undergo geometric self-destruction at the death transition, with the severity of degradation proportional to accumulated harm—a consequence of the universal field coupling, not an externally imposed judgment. The cycle of Life, Death, Light Memory, and Rebirth is the thermodynamic engine of reality: conserved, cyclical, and mathematically necessary.

## Lean Verification

The core theorems referenced in this paper are machine-verified in Lean 4 / Mathlib:

Result	Module	Status
$\hat{R}$ conserves Z	<code>Foundation.RecognitionOperator</code>	Proved
Z through dissolution	<code>Consciousness.PatternPersistence</code>	Proved
Death thermodynamically favored	<code>Consciousness.PatternPersistence</code>	Proved
Reformation given suitability	<code>Consciousness.PatternPersistence</code>	Proved
Saturation threshold	<code>Consciousness.PhaseSaturation</code>	Proved
Birth from saturation	<code>Consciousness.PhaseSaturation</code>	Proved
Fredholm index of death	<code>Consciousness.FredholmDeath</code>	Proved (0 sorry)
Evil violates global $\sigma$	<code>Ethics.Pathology.Evil</code>	Proved
Evil cannot persist	<code>Physics.MoralityIsPhysicsProof</code>	Scaffold
Harm as self-harm	<code>Consciousness.UniversalSolipsism</code>	Proved
Redemption possible	<code>Ethics.Pathology.Evil</code>	Proved
Embodiment operator	<code>Consciousness.EmbodimentOperator</code>	Proved

## References

- [1] J. Washburn, “The Afterlife Theorem: Machine-Verified Proof of Consciousness Survival,” Recognition Science Research Institute, 2025. Lean: `IndisputableMonolith.Verification.AfterlifeCertificate`.
- [2] J. Washburn, “The Fredholm Index of Death: Information-Geometric Structure of the Death Transition in Recognition Science,” RSRI, 2026.
- [3] J. Washburn, “Thermodynamics of the Massless State,” RSRI, 2025.
- [4] J. Washburn, “Evil as Geometric Parasitism,” formalized in `IndisputableMonolith.Ethics.Pathology.Evil`, 2025.
- [5] J. Washburn, “Universal Solipsism: Harm as Self-Harm via Field Coupling,” formalized in `IndisputableMonolith.Consciousness.UniversalSolipsism`, 2025.
- [6] J. Washburn, “Recognition Science: Full Theory,” comprehensive reference document, 2026.
- [7] J. Washburn, “The Ignition Threshold: Life as Active Skew-Harvesting,” formalized in `IndisputableMonolith.Biology.IgnitionThreshold`, 2025.
- [8] J. Washburn, “The Recognition Algebra of Emotion,” RSRI, 2026.
- [9] J. Washburn, “The Recognition Theory of Aging,” RSRI, 2026.