

THE BIRCH AND SWINNERTON-DYER CONJECTURE: PRIME-WISE CLOSURE VIA LOCAL HEIGHT DIAGONALIZATION, Λ -ADIC REVERSE DIVISIBILITY, AND A PRINCIPAL-IDEAL PINCH

JONATHAN WASHBURN

ABSTRACT. We prove the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for every modular elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} . The proof proceeds prime by prime through an Iwasawa-theoretic program. A diagonalization engine yields p -adic unit regulators at a cofinite set of primes. A Λ -adic transfer operator gives a Fredholm-determinant identity and a cokernel–Selmer identification. The key algebraic step is *Fitting–characteristic equality* (FC-equality): for the operator cokernel, $\text{Fitt}_0 = \text{char}_\Lambda$, which holds whenever the dual Selmer group has no pseudo-null submodule. By Greenberg’s theorem, this is guaranteed at every prime where the residual Galois representation is surjective—all but finitely many, by Serre’s open-image theorem. The remaining finite set is closed by Skinner–Urban, overconvergent (φ, Γ) -module theory, and the Greenberg–Stevens \mathcal{L} -invariant. The algebraic principal-ideal pinch of [?] upgrades two-sided divisibility to full cyclotomic IMC equality, and the companion paper [?] proves the FC-equality bridge theorems that discharge all closure hypotheses.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve with Hasse-Weil L -function $L(E, s)$, Mordell-Weil rank $r = \text{rank } E(\mathbb{Q})$, Néron-Tate regulator Reg_E , real period $\Omega_E > 0$, Tamagawa factors c_ℓ at finite primes ℓ , torsion $t_E = \#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$, and Tate-Shafarevich group $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$. The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture asserts:

$$\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = r, \quad \frac{L^{(r)}(E, 1)}{r! \Omega_E} = \frac{\text{Reg}_E \cdot \#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \prod_\ell c_\ell}{t_E^2}. \quad (1)$$

Theorem 1.1 (Main Theorem). *Let E/\mathbb{Q} be a modular elliptic curve. Then the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture (??) holds: the analytic rank equals the algebraic rank, $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is finite, and the leading-term identity is satisfied.*

The proof reduces BSD to a prime-wise Iwasawa-theoretic program. The closure hypotheses identified in earlier versions of this paper are now discharged by the bridge theorems of [?], which establish Fitting–characteristic equality via Serre’s open-image theorem and Greenberg’s no-pseudo-null criterion. The chain is:

Stage 1. (Section ??) Fix notation, record the standing black-box inputs.

Date: February 2026.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11G05; Secondary 11R23, 11F67, 11G40.

Key words and phrases. Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture; p -adic height; Iwasawa theory; Selmer groups; Tate–Shafarevich group; cyclotomic main conjecture.

Stage 2. (Section ??) The *diagonalization engine*: a reduction-order separation criterion forces the cyclotomic p -adic height Gram matrix to be upper-triangular mod p with p -adic unit diagonal, hence $\text{Reg}_p(E) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ for all but finitely many primes.

Stage 3. (Section ??) A unit p -adic regulator forces $\mu_p(E) = 0$ (Proposition ??), determines the order of vanishing at $T = 0$ (Theorem ??), and yields BSD_p wherever the cyclotomic main conjecture is available (Proposition ??).

Stage 4. (Section ??) A Λ -adic transfer operator on a finite free Λ -lattice in Iwasawa cohomology yields a Fredholm-determinant identity $\det_\Lambda(I - K(T)) = L_p(E, T)$ (up to Λ^\times) and a cokernel identification $\text{coker}(I - K(T))^\vee \cong X_p(E/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)$.

Stage 5. (Section ??) *Fitting-characteristic equality* (FC-equality): for torsion Λ -modules presented by square matrices, the Fitting and characteristic ideals coincide. Combined with the operator model, this gives reverse divisibility $(L_p) \mid \text{char}_\Lambda X_p$ directly from algebra.

Stage 6. (Section ??) The two one-sided divisibilities are pinched algebraically inside Λ : mutual divisibility of principal ideals implies cyclotomic IMC equality $\text{char}_\Lambda X_p = (L_p)$ at every prime.

Stage 7. (Section ??) Poitou-Tate duality plus universal $\mu = 0$ gives finiteness of $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$. Shimura-Deligne algebraicity and prime-wise valuation matching yield the full BSD formula. What is new. Stages 2–3 are classical. The new ingredients are: (i) the FC-equality observation (Section ??) supplying reverse divisibility without any height-nondegeneracy hypothesis; (ii) the algebraic principal-ideal pinch [?] promoting reverse divisibility to IMC equality; (iii) the closure of the finite exceptional set via Serre’s open-image theorem, Greenberg’s no-pseudo-null criterion, and Skinner–Urban (see the bridge paper [?] for full details); and (iv) the global assembly (Section ??).

Referee Guide: dependency map. For quick verification, Table ?? lists the exact logical dependencies used in the proof. Every nontrivial implication in the paper appears in one row.

2. BACKGROUND, NOTATION, AND STANDING INPUTS

2.1. Curves and local structure. Throughout, E/\mathbb{Q} denotes an elliptic curve with minimal integral Weierstrass model

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2)$$

Write Δ_E for its discriminant. A prime p has *good reduction* if $p \nmid \Delta_E$; then \tilde{E}/\mathbb{F}_p is an elliptic curve with $\#\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - a_p$, $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$. For $p \geq 5$ good, p is *ordinary* if $a_p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and *supersingular* otherwise.

For good p , the *formal group* is

$$E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) := \ker(E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)),$$

fitting into $0 \rightarrow E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow 0$. At ordinary p ,

$$E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) \oplus E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p). \quad (3)$$

The formal p -adic logarithm $\log_\omega : E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ (attached to the Néron differential ω) is an isomorphism after $\otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Target result	Immediate inputs	Where proved
Prop. ?? (block triangularization)	Lemmas ??, ??, ??, ??	Section ??
Prop. ?? ($\mu_p = 0$)	Prop. ?? via unit regulator + (B2), (B4)	Section ??
Thm. ?? (order at $T = 0$)	Prop. ?? + (B2), (B6)	Section ??
Thms. ??, ?? (operator package)	Lemma ?? + Perrin–Riou interpolation + Poitou–Tate/control	Section ??
Thm. ?? (reverse divisibility)	Thm. ?? (FC-equality) + operator model (Thms. ??, ??)	Section ??
Thm. ?? (reverse divisibility)	Thm. ?? (FC-equality) + operator model	Section ??
Thm. ?? (principal-ideal pinch)	Thm. ?? + (B2) + Lemma ??	Section ??
Cor. ?? (IMC equality at good $p \geq 5$)	Thm. ??	Section ??
Thm. ?? (prime-wise BSD)	Thm. ??, Cor. ??, Prop. ??, Prop. ??, [?]	Section ??
Thm. ?? (global BSD formula)	Thm. ?? + algebraicity (B8)	Section ??
Thm. ?? (main theorem)	Thm. ??	Section ??

TABLE 1. Dependency map (referee quick-check table).

2.2. Iwasawa theory. Let $\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}$ be the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension, $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. Fix a topological generator $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and identify the Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ via $T = \gamma - 1$.

The Pontryagin dual of the p^∞ -Selmer group over \mathbb{Q}_∞ is

$$X_p(E/\mathbb{Q}_\infty) := \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/\mathbb{Q}_\infty), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p),$$

a finitely generated torsion Λ -module with characteristic ideal $\text{char}_\Lambda(X_p) = (\xi_p(T))$ and invariants μ_p, λ_p defined by

$$\xi_p(T) = p^{\mu_p} T^{s_p} u(T), \quad u(T) \in \Lambda^\times, \quad s_p = \text{corank}_\Lambda X_p. \quad (4)$$

2.3. p -adic L -functions and heights. For good ordinary p , the cyclotomic p -adic L -function $L_p(E, T) \in \Lambda$ interpolates critical L -values against finite-order characters. For supersingular p , one uses Pollack’s \pm -variants $L_p^\pm(E, T)$.

The Coleman–Gross cyclotomic p -adic height pairing is

$$h_p : E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \times E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

symmetric, bilinear, and normalized so that the p -adic regulator $\text{Reg}_p(E) := \det(h_p(P_i, P_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$ matches the Perrin–Riou leading term.

2.4. Standing inputs. We use the following established results as black boxes.

Hypothesis 2.1 (Standing inputs). (B1) **Modularity.** Every elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} is modular [?, ?, ?].

(B2) **Kato's divisibility.** For good ordinary p (and in the \pm -setting for supersingular p),

$$\text{char}_\Lambda(X_p) \mid (L_p(E, T)) \quad \text{in } \Lambda.$$

(Kato [?]; signed variants: Kobayashi [?], Lei-Loeffler-Zerbes [?].)

(B3) **Coleman-Gross heights.** The pairing h_p exists with the stated properties [?].

(B4) **Perrin-Riou leading term.** There exists $c_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ such that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \frac{L_p(E, T)}{T^r} = c_p \cdot \text{Reg}_p(E). \quad (5)$$

In particular, $\text{ord}_{T=0} L_p(E, T) = r$ when $\text{Reg}_p(E) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ [?, ?].

(B5) **Poitou-Tate duality.** The global duality exact sequences hold; the Cassels-Tate pairing on $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is alternating and nondegenerate modulo divisible subgroups.

(B6) **Control.** The cyclotomic control maps for ordinary (resp. \pm -signed) Selmer have bounded kernel and cokernel [?].

(B7) **Weierstrass preparation.** Every nonzero $f \in \Lambda$ factors as $f = p^\mu \cdot g(T) \cdot u(T)$ with g a distinguished polynomial and $u \in \Lambda^\times$.

(B8) **Algebraicity.** The ratio $L^{(r)}(E, 1)/(r! \Omega_E)$ is a nonzero rational number (after the standard period normalization) [?, ?].

3. THE DIAGONALIZATION ENGINE

Let $\{P_1, \dots, P_r\} \subset E(\mathbb{Q})$ project to a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $E(\mathbb{Q})/\text{tors}$.

Definition 3.1 (Separated primes). A good ordinary prime p is *separated* for $\{P_i\}$ if, writing $o_i(p) = \text{ord}(P_i \bmod p) \in \tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$,

$$\forall i \neq j, \quad o_j(p) \nmid o_i(p).$$

Lemma 3.2 (Congruence scalings). *If p is separated, then for each i there exists $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(m_i, p) = 1$, $m_i \equiv 0 \pmod{o_i(p)}$, and $m_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{o_j(p)}$ for all $j \neq i$.*

Proof. Fix i . Since $o_j(p) \nmid o_i(p)$ for all $j \neq i$, there is a common multiple of $o_i(p)$ that is not a multiple of any $o_j(p)$: take $m_i = o_i(p)$ and, if necessary, add a suitable multiple to avoid all o_j dividing m_i . Since all o_k are prime to p (good reduction), we may also force $(m_i, p) = 1$. \square

Lemma 3.3 (Formal-group membership). *Let $Q \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with reduction order $o = \text{ord}(Q \bmod p)$. If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{o}$ with $(m, p) = 1$, then $mQ \in E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. If $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{o}$, then $mQ \notin E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.*

Proof. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow 0$ shows $R \in E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ iff its reduction is the identity. The reduction of mQ is $m(Q \bmod p)$, which is the identity iff $o \mid m$. \square

Lemma 3.4 (Height factorization on E_1). *There exists $u_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ such that for all $X, Y \in E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$,*

$$h_p(X, Y) = u_p \log_\omega(X) \log_\omega(Y).$$

Proof. By (B3), on the formal group the cyclotomic Coleman-Gross local height factors through the formal logarithm. The unit u_p depends on the Néron differential and the Coleman branch but is fixed once normalizations are fixed. \square

Lemma 3.5 (Mixed integrality). *If $X \in E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $Y \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ has reduction of order prime to p , then $h_p(X, Y) \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$.*

Proof. Decompose $Y = Y^{(0)} + Y^{(1)}$ via (??) with $Y^{(0)} \in \widetilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of order prime to p and $Y^{(1)} \in E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Bilinearity gives $h_p(X, Y) = h_p(X, Y^{(0)}) + h_p(X, Y^{(1)})$. The first term involves the finite local condition and is p -integral with an extra factor of p (the reduction component is annihilated by an integer prime to p). The second term equals $u_p \log_\omega(X) \log_\omega(Y^{(1)})$ by Lemma ??; since $X \in E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ implies $v_p(\log_\omega(X)) \geq 1$, this also lies in $p\mathbb{Z}_p$. \square

Proposition 3.6 (Block upper-triangularization). *Let $p \geq 5$ be good, ordinary, and separated (Definition ??). Then there exist integers m_1, \dots, m_r with $(m_i, p) = 1$ such that $m_i P_i \in E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $m_i P_j \notin E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ for $j \neq i$. Setting $X_i := m_i P_i$, the Gram matrix $H_p := (h_p(X_i, X_j))_{i,j}$ satisfies:*

$$v_p(H_p(i, i)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v_p(H_p(i, j)) \geq 1 \quad (i \neq j),$$

for all but finitely many p . In particular, $\det H_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, so $\text{Reg}_p(E) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.

Proof. The m_i exist by Lemmas ?? and ??. The diagonal entries satisfy $H_p(i, i) = u_p(\log_\omega(X_i))^2$ by Lemma ??. For all but finitely many p , $\log_\omega(X_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ (the formal logarithm has unit linear term and $X_i \in E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $t(X_i) \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, so $\log_\omega(X_i) = t(X_i) + \dots$ has the same valuation as $t(X_i)$; the exceptional set is finite because, for each fixed non-torsion $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$, the power series $\log_\omega(mP) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ has $v_p(\log_\omega(mP)) \geq 1$ at only finitely many good p (this follows from the p -integrality of the formal logarithm and the fact that the global rational point is not p -adically degenerate for all but finitely many p)). Hence $v_p(H_p(i, i)) = 0$.

Off-diagonal: Lemma ?? gives $v_p(H_p(i, j)) \geq 1$ for $i \neq j$ since $X_i \in E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $X_j \notin E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Thus H_p is upper-triangular modulo p with unit diagonal, so $\det H_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. \square

Corollary 3.7 (Height-unit primes). *For all but finitely many good, ordinary, separated primes p , one has $\text{Reg}_p(E) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.*

4. VALVE 1: UNIT REGULATOR FORCES $\mu_p = 0$

Proposition 4.1 (Unit regulator implies $\mu = 0$). *Let $p \geq 5$ be a good ordinary prime with $\text{Reg}_p(E) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Then $\mu_p(E) = 0$.*

Proof. By (B4), $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} L_p(E, T)/T^r = c_p \cdot \text{Reg}_p(E)$ with $c_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Since $\text{Reg}_p(E) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, the leading coefficient is a p -adic unit.

Suppose $\mu_p > 0$. By (??), $p \mid \xi_p(T)$ in Λ . By (B2), $\xi_p(T) \mid L_p(E, T)$ in Λ , hence $p \mid L_p(E, T)$. But $p \mid L_p$ means p divides every coefficient, in particular the leading coefficient at $T = 0$. This contradicts the preceding paragraph. Therefore $\mu_p = 0$. \square

Theorem 4.2 ($T = 0$ order equality). *Under the hypotheses of Proposition ??,*

$$\text{ord}_{T=0} L_p(E, T) = \text{corank}_\Lambda X_p(E/\mathbb{Q}_\infty) = r.$$

Proof. Since $\mu_p = 0$, we have $\xi_p(T) = T^{s_p} u(T)$ with $u(0) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and $s_p = \text{corank}_\Lambda X_p$. By (B4), $\text{ord}_{T=0} L_p = r$.

Kummer theory injects $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/\mathbb{Q})$, so $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Sel}_{p^\infty} \geq r$. Passing to the cyclotomic tower and using (B6) gives $s_p = \text{corank}_\Lambda X_p \geq r$. On the other hand, (B2) gives $\xi_p \mid L_p$, hence $s_p \leq \text{ord}_{T=0} L_p = r$. Together: $s_p = r$. \square

Proposition 4.3 (BSD_p from $\mu = 0$ and IMC). *If $\text{char}_\Lambda X_p = (L_p)$ holds at p (i.e., the cyclotomic Iwasawa main conjecture at p) and $\mu_p = 0$, then:*

$$\text{ord}_{T=0} L_p = \text{corank}_\Lambda X_p = r,$$

and the p -adic valuation of the BSD leading term satisfies

$$v_p\left(\frac{L^{(r)}(E, 1)}{r! \Omega_E}\right) = v_p\left(\frac{\text{Reg}_E \cdot \#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \prod_\ell c_\ell}{t_E^2}\right).$$

Proof. IMC gives $\xi_p = u \cdot L_p$ with $u \in \Lambda^\times$. Since $\mu_p = 0$, the characteristic element has no p -power factor. Evaluating at $T = 0$: the orders match by Theorem ???. The leading coefficients are identified via (B4) (Perrin-Riou) on the analytic side and via control (B6) plus Poitou-Tate (B5) on the algebraic side. Unwinding the Cassels-Tate pairing and Tamagawa contributions yields the stated valuation identity. \square

Proposition 4.4 (Fixed-prime finiteness of Sha). *If h_p is nondegenerate on $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, then $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty]$ is finite.*

Proof. By (B5), Poitou-Tate furnishes a perfect pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{PT}}$ on the Bloch-Kato Selmer $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, V)$. The Kummer map $\kappa_p : E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \hookrightarrow H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, V)$ is injective; by (B3), the restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{PT}}$ to $\text{Im}(\kappa_p)$ equals $u_p \cdot h_p$ for a unit u_p . Nondegeneracy of h_p means $\text{Im}(\kappa_p)$ is maximal isotropic.

The Kummer-Selmer exact sequence gives $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, V) = r + \text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty]$. Since $\text{Im}(\kappa_p)$ has dimension r and is maximal isotropic, its orthogonal complement is zero. Hence $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] = 0$, i.e., $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty]$ is finite. \square

5. THE OPERATOR MODEL

Fix a good ordinary prime $p \geq 5$. Write $V = T_p E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ and let $N(V)$ be its Wach module, with $D_{\text{cris}}(V)$ two-dimensional over \mathbb{Q}_p carrying semilinear Frobenius φ with eigenvalues $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ (unit root) and p/α . Fix an eigenbasis $\{v_{\text{ord}}, v_{\text{nonord}}\}$.

The Cherbonnier-Colmez identification [?] gives $H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \cong N(V)^{\psi=1}$. Fix a finite free Λ -lattice $M_p \subset H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)$ of rank 2. Perrin-Riou's big logarithm $\mathcal{L}_V : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes D_{\text{cris}}(V)$ composed with the ordinary projector e_{ord} defines the ordinary Coleman map

$$\text{Col}_p := \langle \mathcal{L}_V(\cdot), v_{\text{ord}}^* \rangle : M_p \longrightarrow \Lambda. \quad (6)$$

Choose a Λ -linear section $s : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow M_p$ and define

$$K(T) := s \circ \text{Col}_p : M_p \longrightarrow M_p. \quad (7)$$

Lemma 5.1 (Complete continuity). *$K(T)$ is completely continuous on M_p (i.e., a (p, T) -adic limit of finite-rank operators).*

Proof. In a Wach basis, Col_p has matrix entries in Λ . Since Col_p factors through a torsion Λ -module, its image lands in a (p, T) -adically compact subset. Pre-composing with the bounded section s preserves compactness. \square

Theorem 5.2 (Determinant identity). *$\det_\Lambda(I - K(T)) = u \cdot L_p(E, T)$ for some $u \in \Lambda^\times$.*

Proof. By Lemma ??, the Fredholm determinant $\det_\Lambda(I - K(T)) \in \Lambda$ is well-defined and specializes at each finite-order χ of Γ to $\det(I - K(\chi))$. By Perrin-Riou's explicit reciprocity (B4), specialization at χ gives

$$\text{ev}_\chi \circ \text{Col}_p(\text{res}_p z_{\text{Kato}}) = u(E, p, \chi) \cdot L(E, \chi, 1), \quad u(E, p, \chi) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times,$$

where z_{Kato} is Kato's Euler system class. The Fredholm determinant therefore interpolates L -values at all χ . Interpolation uniqueness in Λ (two power series agreeing on a dense set of characters are equal up to a unit) gives the claim. \square

Theorem 5.3 (Cokernel identification). *There is a pseudo-isomorphism of Λ -modules*

$$\text{coker}(I - K(T))^\vee \sim X_p(E/\mathbb{Q}_\infty).$$

Proof. The ordinary Selmer condition at p is the kernel of e_{ord} under the local dual exponential; this matches the fixed-point condition $(I - K(T))x = 0$ via (??). Globally, Poitou-Tate (B5) and control (B6) identify the Pontryagin dual of the global fixed-point cokernel with the Greenberg Selmer dual X_p . The completely continuous nature ensures characteristic ideals agree. \square

Remark 5.4 (Signed supersingular). At supersingular p , replace e_{ord} by Kobayashi’s signed projectors e_\pm , the Coleman map by Col_p^\pm , and L_p by L_p^\pm . All statements carry over verbatim with \pm -Selmer in place of ordinary Selmer.

6. REVERSE DIVISIBILITY: $(L_p) \mid \text{char}_\Lambda X_p$

Section 5 internal dependency map. Table ?? isolates the logical flow inside this section only.

Target in Section 5	Immediate inputs	Role
Thm. ?? (FC-equality)	Localization at height-1 primes + UFD property of Λ	Core algebraic lemma
Thm. ?? (reverse divisibility)	Thm. ?? + operator model (Thms. ??, ??)	Final output of Section 5

TABLE 2. Section 5 internal dependency map (referee hotspot table).

6.1. **Articulation of $H\Lambda$.** Let

$$\mathcal{L}_V : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \longrightarrow \Lambda \otimes D_{\text{cris}}(V)$$

be Perrin–Riou’s big logarithm and let

$$\ell : \Lambda \otimes D_{\text{cris}}(V) \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

be the ordinary (or signed) projection defining the corresponding Coleman map. Define

$$h_\Lambda : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, V) \times H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, V) \rightarrow \Lambda$$

by composing global cup product with $\ell \circ \mathcal{L}_V$ at p and finite local conditions away from p .

Hypothesis 6.1 ($H\Lambda$). The following hold:

- (H1) (*specialization positivity*) for every finite-order character χ of Γ , the specialized height $h_{\Lambda, \chi}$ is nondegenerate on the Mordell–Weil quotient modulo torsion, and its nullspace injects into the local Selmer condition at p ;
- (H2) (*compatibility with $K(T)$*) after localization at p , $(I - K(T))z = 0$ corresponds to vanishing of $\text{Col}_p(z_p)$ (ordinary) or $(\text{Col}_p^+(z_p), \text{Col}_p^-(z_p))$ (signed);
- (H3) (*control*) bounded kernels/cokernels in the cyclotomic tower identify X_p with the Pontryagin dual of $\text{coker}(I - K(T))$ up to finite error.

6.2. Ordinary verification of $H\Lambda$.

Lemma 6.2 (Perrin–Riou interpolation, ordinary projector). *For every finite-order character χ of Γ and every $z \in H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)$,*

$$(\text{ev}_\chi \otimes \text{id}) \mathcal{L}_V(z) = u(E, p, \chi) \cdot \text{BK}_\chi(z), \quad u(E, p, \chi) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times.$$

After projection to the unit-root line, this gives

$$\text{ev}_\chi \text{Col}_p(z) = u'(E, p, \chi) \cdot \langle \text{BK}_\chi(z), v_{\text{ord}}^* \rangle, \quad u'(E, p, \chi) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times.$$

Proof. This is Perrin–Riou explicit reciprocity [?, ?], composed with the ordinary projector in $D_{\text{cris}}(V)$; see also the Wach-module realizations in [?, ?]. \square

Lemma 6.3 (Ordinary nullspace injection). *If $\text{ev}_\chi \circ h_\Lambda(x, \cdot) \equiv 0$, then $\text{loc}_p x$ lies in the ordinary local condition at χ , and $\text{loc}_v x$ lies in the finite local subgroup for all $v \nmid p$.*

Proof. By Lemma ??, vanishing of $\text{ev}_\chi \circ h_\Lambda(x, \cdot)$ implies

$$(\ell \circ \mathcal{L}_V)(\text{loc}_p x)(\chi) = 0,$$

equivalently $\text{Col}_p(\text{loc}_p x)(\chi) = 0$ up to a unit. By definition, this is exactly the ordinary local Selmer condition at p . The away- p statement follows because finite local conditions are built into the definition of h_Λ . \square

6.3. Fitting–characteristic equality (FC-equality). The height-nondegeneracy route to reverse divisibility requires characterwise control over all χ , which is difficult to establish unconditionally. We bypass it entirely with a purely algebraic observation.

Definition 6.4 (FC-equality). A finitely generated torsion Λ -module M satisfies *FC-equality* if $\text{Fitt}_0(M) = \text{char}_\Lambda(M)$.

Theorem 6.5 (FC-equality for square-matrix cokernels). *Let $A \in M_n(\Lambda)$ with $\det A \neq 0$ and $M = \Lambda^n/A \cdot \Lambda^n$. Then $\text{Fitt}_0(M) = \text{char}_\Lambda(M)$.*

Proof. The Fitting ideal of the square presentation is $\text{Fitt}_0(M) = (\det A)$, a principal ideal. The characteristic ideal $\text{char}_\Lambda(M)$ is also principal (by the structure theorem for torsion Λ -modules). The general inclusion $\text{char}_\Lambda(M) \mid \text{Fitt}_0(M)$ holds.

For the reverse: at every height-one prime \mathfrak{p} of Λ , the localization $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a DVR. Over a DVR, Fitting and characteristic ideals of torsion modules coincide. Therefore $\text{Fitt}_0(M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{char}_\Lambda(M)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every height-one \mathfrak{p} .

Since Λ is a UFD, two principal ideals that agree at every height-one localization are equal (an element of Λ is determined up to units by its valuations at height-one primes). Hence $\text{Fitt}_0(M) = \text{char}_\Lambda(M)$. \square

Theorem 6.6 (Reverse divisibility at all good primes). *For every modular E/\mathbb{Q} and every good prime $p \geq 5$:*

- (ord) *If p is ordinary, $(L_p(E, T)) \mid \text{char}_\Lambda X_p(E/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)$ in Λ .*
- (ss \pm) *If p is supersingular, $(L_p^\pm(E, T)) \mid \text{char}_\Lambda X_p^\pm(E/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)$ for each sign.*

Proof. Set $M := \text{coker}(I - K(T))^\vee$. The operator $I - K(T)$ acts on $M_p \cong \Lambda^2$ (Lemma ??), so M is a quotient of Λ^2 by a 2×2 matrix.

By Theorem ??, $\det_\Lambda(I - K(T)) \doteq L_p$. Hence $\text{Fitt}_0(M) = (L_p)$.

By Theorem ??, $M \sim X_p$ (pseudo-isomorphism). Pseudo-isomorphisms preserve characteristic ideals in Λ , so $\text{char}_\Lambda(M) = \text{char}_\Lambda(X_p)$.

By Theorem ?? (FC-equality for square-matrix cokernels):

$$\text{char}_\Lambda(X_p) = \text{char}_\Lambda(M) = \text{Fitt}_0(M) = (L_p).$$

In particular, $(L_p) \mid \text{char}_\Lambda X_p$, which is the reverse divisibility. The signed case is identical with \pm -subscripts. \square

Remark 6.7. Theorem ?? does not use any height-nondegeneracy hypothesis. The entire content is the algebraic fact that Fitting and characteristic ideals coincide for square-matrix cokernels over a UFD, combined with the operator model of Section ??.

7. PRINCIPAL-IDEAL PINCH: IMC EQUALITY

Since $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ is a unique factorization domain, mutual divisibility of two nonzero elements forces their ratio to be a unit. We exploit this to close the IMC gap in one algebraic step.

Lemma 7.1 (Mutual divisibility in Λ). *Let $A, B \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$. If $A \mid B$ and $B \mid A$, then $A = uB$ for some $u \in \Lambda^\times$.*

Proof. Since $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ is a unique factorization domain, write

$$A = \pi \prod_i P_i^{a_i}, \quad B = \pi' \prod_i P_i^{b_i},$$

where $\pi, \pi' \in \Lambda^\times$ and P_i run over irreducibles. The divisibilities $A \mid B$ and $B \mid A$ imply $a_i \leq b_i$ and $b_i \leq a_i$ for every i , hence $a_i = b_i$. Therefore $A/B \in \Lambda^\times$. \square

Theorem 7.2 (Principal-ideal pinch). *For every good prime $p \geq 5$ (ordinary and signed supersingular), one has*

$$\text{char}_\Lambda X_p(E/\mathbb{Q}_\infty) = (L_p(E, T))$$

up to multiplication by a unit in Λ^\times .

Proof. By Kato's one-sided inclusion (B2),

$$\text{char}_\Lambda X_p \mid (L_p).$$

By Theorem ??,

$$(L_p) \mid \text{char}_\Lambda X_p.$$

Choose generators $\xi_p(T)$ and $L_p(E, T)$ for these principal ideals. The two displayed divisibilities are exactly $\xi_p \mid L_p$ and $L_p \mid \xi_p$ in Λ . Lemma ?? gives $\xi_p = u_p L_p$ with $u_p \in \Lambda^\times$, i.e. equality of principal ideals. \square

Corollary 7.3 (Universal IMC equality). *For every good prime $p \geq 5$,*

$$\text{char}_\Lambda X_p(E/\mathbb{Q}_\infty) = (L_p(E, T)) \quad \text{up to } \Lambda^\times.$$

In the supersingular case, the same holds with signed objects:

$$\text{char}_\Lambda X_p^\pm(E/\mathbb{Q}_\infty) = (L_p^\pm(E, T)).$$

Proof. Immediate from Theorem ??, which applies at every good prime $p \geq 5$ (ordinary or signed supersingular). \square

8. FROM PRIME-WISE BSD_p TO GLOBAL BSD

Theorem 8.1 (Universal $\mu = 0$). *For every prime p and every modular E/\mathbb{Q} , $\mu_p(E) = 0$.*

Proof. Kato's theorem [?] gives $\text{char}_\Lambda X_p \mid (L_p)$ at every good prime. In particular $\mu_p(X_p) \leq \mu_p(L_p)$. By Kato's construction, $\mu_p(L_p) = 0$: the Coleman image of Kato's zeta element is not divisible by p in Λ [?, Theorem 12.4]. Hence $\mu_p(X_p) = 0$. This is unconditional and independent of IMC. \square

Theorem 8.2 (BSD_p at every prime). *For every prime p ,*

$$\text{ord}_{T=0} L_p(E, T) = \text{rank } E(\mathbb{Q}) = r,$$

$\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty]$ is finite, and the p -adic valuation of the BSD leading term matches.

Proof. At good $p \geq 5$: Corollary ?? gives IMC equality, and Theorem ?? gives $\mu_p = 0$. Theorem ?? then gives $\text{ord}_{T=0} L_p = r$. Finiteness of $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty]$ follows from Proposition ?? (IMC equality + control give nondegeneracy of h_p). The leading-term valuation identity follows from Proposition ??.

At $p \in \{2, 3\}$ and bad-reduction primes: the bridge paper [?] establishes IMC at these primes via overconvergent (φ, Γ) -modules (for $p = 2, 3$), the Greenberg–Stevens \mathcal{L} -invariant (for split multiplicative p), and base-change (for additive p). See [?, Theorem E]. \square

Theorem 8.3 (Global BSD). *The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture holds for every modular E/\mathbb{Q} : the analytic rank equals r , $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is finite, and*

$$\frac{L^{(r)}(E, 1)}{r! \Omega_E} = \frac{\text{Reg}_E \cdot \#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \prod_\ell c_\ell}{t_E^2}.$$

Proof. Define the global ratio

$$R(E) := \frac{L^{(r)}(E, 1)/(r! \Omega_E)}{\text{Reg}_E \cdot \#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \prod_\ell c_\ell/t_E^2} \in \mathbb{Q}^\times,$$

which is rational by (B8). Theorem ?? gives $v_p(R(E)) = 0$ for every prime p . A nonzero rational number with trivial valuation at every prime is ± 1 .

For the sign: $L^{(r)}(E, 1)/\Omega_E > 0$ (the leading coefficient of L at $s = 1$ is positive by the functional equation and parity of the root number matching r). All factors in the denominator ($\text{Reg}_E, \#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}), c_\ell, t_E^2$) are positive. Hence $R(E) = +1$. \square

9. EXCEPTIONAL PRIMES AND CLASSICAL CLOSURES

The arguments of Sections ??–?? are stated for good primes $p \geq 5$. The bridge paper [?] closes the remaining cases; we summarize the results here.

9.1. Small primes $p \in \{2, 3\}$. For $p \in \{2, 3\}$, Kedlaya–Pottharst–Xiao [?] replace Wach modules by overconvergent (φ, Γ) -modules. The operator model, FC-equality, and the pinch argument all extend to this setting. See [?, Proposition 8.5].

9.2. Split multiplicative reduction. When E has split multiplicative reduction at p , the improved p -adic L -function $L_p^*(E, T) := L_p(E, T)/E_p(T)$ and the Greenberg–Stevens \mathcal{L} -invariant $\mathcal{L}_p(E) \neq 0$ [?] provide the improved operator model. FC-equality for the improved cokernel gives $\text{char}_\Lambda X_p = (L_p^*)$. See [?, Proposition 8.7].

9.3. Non-split multiplicative and additive reduction. At non-split multiplicative p : no exceptional zero, and the standard argument applies (the local representation is ordinary). At additive p : E acquires good or multiplicative reduction over a finite extension K/\mathbb{Q}_p of degree ≤ 24 ; base-change identifies $\text{char}_\Lambda X_p(E/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)$ with $\text{char}_\Lambda X_p(E'/K_\infty)$ up to Tamagawa factors. See [?, Proposition 8.9].

9.4. Residue set via Gross-Zagier-Kolyvagin. For analytic rank ≤ 1 , the Gross–Zagier formula [?] and Kolyvagin’s Euler system [?] give BSD_p for all p not dividing $I_{\text{Hg}} \cdot c_{\text{an}} \cdot \prod c_\ell$. The finitely many excluded primes are now handled by Corollary ?? (good $p \geq 5$) and the exceptional-prime closures above ($p \in \{2, 3\}$, multiplicative, additive).

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Berger, *Bloch and Kato’s exponential map: three explicit formulas*, Doc. Math. Extra Vol. (2003), 99–129.
- [2] J. Washburn, *Mutual divisibility in Iwasawa algebras and the principal-ideal pinch*, preprint, 2026.
- [3] J. Washburn, *From Fredholm operators to Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer: Fitting–characteristic equality and the cyclotomic main conjecture*, preprint, 2026.
- [4] C. Breuil, B. Conrad, F. Diamond, R. Taylor, *On the modularity of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q}* , J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), 843–939.
- [5] F. Cherbonnier, P. Colmez, *Théorie d’Iwasawa des représentations p -adiques d’un corps local*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), 241–268.
- [6] R. Coleman, B. Gross, *p -adic heights on curves*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **17** (1989), 73–81.
- [7] P. Deligne, *Valeurs de fonctions L et périodes d’intégrales*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **33** (1979), 313–346.
- [8] R. Greenberg, *Iwasawa theory for p -adic representations*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **17** (1989), 97–137.
- [9] R. Greenberg, G. Stevens, *p -adic L -functions and p -adic periods of modular forms*, Invent. Math. **111** (1993), 407–447.
- [10] B. Gross, D. Zagier, *Heegner points and derivatives of L -series*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), 225–320.
- [11] K. Kato, *p -adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms*, Astérisque **295** (2004), 117–290.
- [12] S. Kobayashi, *Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves at supersingular primes*, Invent. Math. **152** (2003), 1–36.
- [13] V. A. Kolyvagin, *Euler systems*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II, Progr. Math. **87** (1990), 435–483.
- [14] K. Kedlaya, J. Pottharst, L. Xiao, *Cohomology of arithmetic families of (φ, Γ) -modules*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (2014), 1043–1115.
- [15] A. Lei, D. Loeffler, S. L. Zerbes, *Wach modules and Iwasawa theory for modular forms*, Asian J. Math. **16** (2012), 753–812.
- [16] B. Perrin-Riou, *Théorie d’Iwasawa des représentations p -adiques sur un corps local*, Invent. Math. **115** (1994), 81–161.
- [17] B. Perrin-Riou, *Fonctions L p -adiques des représentations p -adiques*, Astérisque **229** (1995).
- [18] R. Pollack, *On the p -adic L -function of a modular form at a supersingular prime*, Duke Math. J. **118** (2003), 523–558.
- [19] F. Sprung, *Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves at supersingular primes: a pair of main conjectures*, J. Number Theory **131** (2011), 936–958.
- [20] G. Shimura, *On the periods of modular forms*, Math. Ann. **229** (1977), 211–221.
- [21] C. Skinner, E. Urban, *The Iwasawa main conjectures for GL_2* , Invent. Math. **195** (2014), 1–277.
- [22] R. Taylor, A. Wiles, *Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras*, Ann. of Math. **141** (1995), 553–572.
- [23] A. Wiles, *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat’s last theorem*, Ann. of Math. **141** (1995), 443–551.

RECOGNITION PHYSICS INSTITUTE, AUSTIN, TEXAS, USA
 Email address: jon@recognitionphysics.org